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The Counterweight Program

- Developed by researchers, clinicians, dietitians
- Evidence based
- Used in the UK for 15 years
- Consistent with NHMRC guidelines
Program aims and resources

• Aim: 5 to 10% weight loss
• Health benefit
• Sustainable changes

• Is NOT about
  – Quick fixes
  – Unrealistic ‘ideal weight’

• Positioned as an intermediate level intervention
Program structure

Screen → 1 → 2 → 3 → 4 → 5 → 6

- 6m
- 9m
- 12m
# UK and Scotland: 12 month results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UK (2000-05)</th>
<th>Scotland (2006-10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number enrolled</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>6715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attendance at 12mths</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In attenders</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean weight loss (95% CI)</td>
<td><strong>3.0kg</strong> (2.4 to 3.5)</td>
<td><strong>3.7kg</strong> (3.3 to 4.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**COMPaRE-PHC**
Pilot of the Counterweight Program in SA

• Aims
  – Determine acceptability
  – Identify necessary changes
  – Refine study methods
Pilot of the Counterweight Program in SA

• Recruited
  – 3 general practices
  – 2 nurses from each practice
  – 63 adult patients

• Focus on delivery of sessions 1 to 6
• Service payment for each session delivered
  – $25 per session 1 and 2 (~30min)
  – $20 per session 3 to 6 (~20min)
Evaluation

• Data from patient medical records
  – Weight, height, BMI
  – Other relevant outcomes
  – Comorbidities

• Interviews with
  – Practice nurses, GPs and practice managers
  – Patients
Program attendance

- % of patients attending
- 3mth: UK, Scotland, Australia
- 6mth: UK, Scotland
- 12mth: UK, Scotland
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1. The program is good

“...obviously the doctors saw the value to it because they would refer people and they obviously had good feedback because they kept referring people.”

(Nurse F)

“The [patient] folder that you add leaflets to every visit is excellent. Some people use it as a bible, others just put it in the corner, but at least it's a building up reference that they will always have.”

(Nurse B)
1. The program is good

“One person's lost eight kilos in three months and every time we celebrate another goal that she's achieved it's a tearful time because she said, ‘I've been waiting for this all my life.’ So [for] the ones that you're not having success with … there's those who you celebrate with and have joy with. Yeah, there's highs and lows.”

(Nurse B)
2. There is a need for it

“I think there is a need for it, definitely. We have quite a few overweight patients and a lot of diabetic patients.”

(Nurse D)
3. But how do we fund it?

“I would like to see it continue. Obviously we'll have to work out a viable financial model.”

(Nurse A)

“Government, health buy-in, you know, MBS item numbers, that’s what’s really needed.”

(Nurse F)
Delivery under existing MBS items

New GPMP (MBS 721)

1. Screen

5 practice nurse chronic disease management items (MBS 10997)

2. GPMP review (MBS 732)

3. Phone consult (no funding)

4. GPMP Review (MBS 732) or new GPMP (MBS 732)

6m

9m

12m
Where to from here?

1. Continued support for the pilot study practices

2. Gather evidence of cost-effectiveness to enable an application for funding under the MBS
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